Mathematical Models of Word Order Change in Middle English

W. Garrett Mitchener

August, 2004

Web site: http://www.math.duke.edu/~wgm

Id: SlideShow.tex,v 1.8 2004/08/31 15:28:04 wgm Exp

©2004 W. Garrett Mitchener

EXPLANATIONS OF SYNTACTIC CHANGE & REANALYSIS

- Three Hard Questions:
 - Description of language before
 - Description of ambiguous, unambiguous, reanalyzed forms
 - Description of language after
- Three Very Hard Questions:
 - Why did the change occur once feasible?
 - Why did it happen at the time it did?
 - Why didn't some other potential change happen?

VERB-SECOND IN NORTHERN MIDDLE ENGLISH



(1) '[Oþir labur] sal they do'

(The Rule of St. Benet, Fischer et al. [2000, p. 131])

CP-type verb-second, pronouns are full words



THREE HARD QUESTIONS

- Before: South SVO+FPv2+pronoun slot, North SVO+CPv2
- Northern, Southern, Modern: Subject Vf ...
 Northern, Southern: XP Vf Subject ...
 Southern: XP Pronoun Vf Subject ...
- After: Modern SVO

CUE SENTENCES FOR NME

XP Vf Subject ...

- Assume children determine quickly that NME has underlying SVO
- Cue sentences: Those which can only be parsed with SVO+CPv2
- Learning hypothesis: Northern children acquire a verb-second grammar only if they hear enough sentences of this form.
 Proposed by Lightfoot [1999].
- Contact with southern ME leads to fewer cue sentences

FIRST MODEL: GRAMMAR AND LEARNING

- Two grammars, G_1 and G_2 , but G_1 is marked (requires substaintial evidence to acquire) and G_2 is the default
- People speak either G_1 or G_2 , no diglossia (We'll come back to this...)
- Learning: Children hear n sentences total; choose G_1 if m or more of them are cues, else choose G_2
- Speakers of G_1 produce cue sentences at rate $p_1 \approx 30\%$ Speakers of G_2 produce cue sentences at rate $p_2 < 5\%$

FIRST MODEL: POPULATION STRUCTURE

- Two regions, North and South; children learn only from neighbors
- x_N = fraction of northerners speaking G_1
- x_S = fraction of southerners speaking G_1
- Mixing parameters α and β: Measure rate at which people move from one region to the other

MATHEMATICAL NOTATION

$$q(x) = \mathbb{P} \{ \text{child picks } G_1 \}$$

$$= \sum_{j=m}^n \binom{n}{j} \gamma^j \gamma^{n-j}$$

$$\gamma = p_1 x + p_2 (1-x)$$

$$x = \text{fraction speaking } G_1$$

$$n = \# \text{ sample sentences} = 100$$

$$m = \min \# \text{ cue} = 20$$

$$p_1 = \text{cue freq for } G_1 = 0.3$$

$$p_2 = \text{cue freq for } G_2 = 0.05$$

$$q[x]$$

$$q[$$

$$\dot{x}_N = q(x_N) - x_N + \alpha(x_S - x_N)$$
$$\dot{x}_S = q(x_S) - x_S + \beta(x_N - x_S)$$



PHASE PORTRAIT: SMALL MIXING



 $\alpha=\beta=0.03$

PHASE PORTRAIT: MORE AND MORE MIXING



$$\alpha = \beta = 0.15$$



$$\alpha = \beta = 0.1$$

$$\alpha = \beta = 0.152985\dots$$

PHASE PORTRAIT: BIFURCATION





Horizontal axis: Time (rescaled units, not years) Vertical axis: Fraction of population speaking G_1 Using $\alpha = \beta$, both increasing linearly in time



The goal



The model so far

- Allow diglossia
- Allow more grammars (SVO+v2, SVO+v2+pronoun slot, vanilla SVO, various kinds of v2, etc.)
- Connect to manuscript data

SECOND MODEL: A SIMULATION

In collaboration with Anthony Kroch

- Agent based, not a continuous approximation
- Minimalist grammar [Adger, 2003]
- Detailed learning algorithm [Yang, 2002]
- Literacy

References

- David Adger. Core Syntax: A minimalist approach. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- Olga Fischer, Ans van Kemenade, Willem Koopman, and Wim van der Wurff. *The Syntax of Early English*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- D. Lightfoot. The Development of Language: Acquisition, Changes and Evolution. Blackwell Publishers, Malden, MA, 1999.
- Charles D. Yang. *Knowledge and Learning in Natural Language*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.